UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,764	04/28/2006	Tetsutaka Yabuta	278542008400	6934
	7590 11/13/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
12531 HIGH BI		TOPGYAL, GELEK W		
SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92130-2040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/57	77,764	YABUTA, TETSU	YABUTA, TETSUTAKA			
		Exam	niner	Art Unit				
		GELE	K TOPGYAL	2621				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commun	ication appears o	n the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If NO period for reply  - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	LONGER, FROM THE May be available under the provisions S from the mailing date of this comm	IAILING DATE OI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause th	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO e application to become a	a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) X Responsiv	e to communication(s) file	ed on 06 July 200	9					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 July 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
′ <del>=</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ns							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-	-4 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> -								
·	is/are objected to.							
	are subject to restric	ction and/or election	on requirement.					
Application Papers								
	cation is objected to by th	e Examiner						
	g(s) filed on is/are:		or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
•	ay not request that any obje		· -	-				
		_	-		CFR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119							
•	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
·— ·—	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	•	• •		n received in this Nationa	I Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Reference				Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/577,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 2004/052504) in view of Mori (JP 402252154) and further in view of Davidsson (US 2003/0086694).

Regarding claim 2, Yamada et al. teaches a mobile telephone device (Fig. 2, Mobile communication device 20) equipped with a broadcast receiving function (Fig. 2, 222), a received broadcast recording and reproducing function (Fig. 2, 224 and 233), comprising:

However fails to particularly teach that it includes a means for performing character recognition on an data received by electronic mail; a means for automatically extracting a numerical sequence out of character-recognized characters, decoding the extracted numerical sequence, and determining whether or not the numerical sequence is a valid timer video recording code; and a means for receiving and recording broadcast based on a timer video recording code obtained by the character recognition.

Mori teaches in constitution that a scanner is able to scan an input sheet 16 into the system. The scanned image is then processed to character recognize the areas filled in with "a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h", the plurality of which corresponds to characters. The computer 10 determines the characters (numbers) filled in to determine a picture recording reservation. The system is the programmed to set the picture recording reservation.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to character recognize a captured image to determine characters that correspond to a recording reservation as taught by Mori into the mobile communication device 20 of Yamada so that picture recording ability of a desired program can be done on a mobile device.

However, the proposed combination of Yamada and Mori fails to particularly teach wherein the data that is to be character recognized is received by way of an electronic mail.

In an analogous recording art, Davidsson teaches in paragraph 29 of the ability to receive information regarding a TV event by way of an e-mail. Thereafter the system uses the information in the e-mail to determine a TV program to be recorded (by way of multiple functions of the electronic calendar).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to receive information in an email regarding a TV program as taught by Davidsson into the proposed combination of Yamada and Mori so that a desired program can be programmed for recording. In the

proposed combination, the system of Mori can utilize the "non-recording reservation data" much like Davidsson to determine a program to be recorded (through Mori's character recognition, etc).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Mori teaches of controlling a CRT to display the plurality of codes that were determined by the computer 13. The user has the ability to verify the plurality of codes that were entered and therefore initiates a recording reservation.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GELEK TOPGYAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,764 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gelek Topgyal/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621